Cross Calibration of SeaWiFS and MODIS Using On-orbit Observations of the Moon

Gene Eplee<sup>1</sup>, Jun-Qiang Sun<sup>2</sup>, Jack Xiong<sup>2</sup>, Gerhard Meister<sup>1</sup>, Charles McClain<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NASA Ocean Biology Processing Group <sup>2</sup>MODIS Characterization Support Team

**MODIS** Calibration Workshop

## SeaWiFS Band 1



#### Aqua MODIS Band 8

Lunar Cal Sequence

Detector 5 Composite

#### MODIS Band 2 (250m), Band 3 (500m), Band 8 (1000m)







#### Lunar Observations

Instrument	Туре	Phase Angle	Number	Time Range
SeaWiFS	Low Phase*	-6 to -8	83	Nov 97 – Apr 09
		+5 to +10	49	
	Cross Cal	-27.1	1	14 Apr 03
	High Phase	-27 to -49	26	Jul 04 – Dec 07
		+27 to +65	32	
Terra MODIS	Scheduled*	+52 to +62	82	Mar 00 – Feb 09
	Cross Cal	-27.7	1	14 Apr 03
	Unscheduled	+55 to +82	297	Jul 00 – Dec 08
Aqua MODIS	Scheduled*	-51 to -58	61	Jul 02 – Apr 09
	Unscheduled	-54 to -80	171	Dec 02 – Dec 08

\*Primary Radiometric Stability Monitor Observations

### **Band Comparisons**

SeaWiFS	λ (nm)	MODIS	λ (nm)
Band 1	412	Band 8	412
Band 2	443	Band 9	442
		Band 3	468
Band 3	490	Band 10	487
Band 4	510	Band 11	530
Band 5	555	Band 12	547
		Band 4	554
Band 6	670	Band 1	647
Band 7	765		
Band 8	865	Band 2	857

## USGS ROLO Model

Disk-integrated lunar irradiances

Geometric normalizations:

- Sun/Moon, SC/Moon distances
- Phase/Libration angles

Band pass normalizations:

• Relative spectral response

\*Oversampling Corrections\*

#### Comparisons over Wavelength

Mission-Long Cross Calibration

• SeaWiFS / Terra MODIS / Aqua MODIS

# Single-Point Cross CalibrationSeaWiFS / Terra MODIS

Combined Comparison

• SeaWiFS / Terra MODIS







# Terra/Aqua MODIS Biases

Band	λ (nm)	Bias (%)
8	412	$0.7 \pm 1.1$
9	442	$1.3 \pm 0.8$
3	468	$2.8 \pm 0.6$
10	487	$1.6 \pm 0.6$
11	530	$2.7 \pm 0.5$
12	547	$1.8 \pm 0.5$
4	554	$2.8 \pm 0.5$
1	647	$0.7 \pm 0.5$
2	857	$0.7 \pm 0.6$

# SeaWiFS/MODIS Biases

SeaWiFS	MODIS	Terra Bias (%)	Aqua Bias (%)	Terra Cross (%)
Band 1	Band 8	5.6 ± 1.1	$4.9 \pm 0.8$	1.5
Band 2	Band 9	$5.4 \pm 0.8$	$4.0 \pm 0.7$	2.0
Band 3	Band 10	$6.0 \pm 0.7$	$4.3 \pm 0.7$	4.0
Band 4	Band 11	$6.0 \pm 0.7$	$3.3 \pm 0.6$	2.1
Band 5	Band 12	$7.8 \pm 0.7$	$5.9 \pm 0.6$	3.6
Band 5	Band 4	$6.4 \pm 0.7$	$4.9 \pm 0.6$	1.5
Band 6	Band 1	$3.0 \pm 0.7$	$3.8 \pm 0.6$	0.4
Band 8	Band 2	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	$7.5 \pm 0.6$	5.0

# Comparisons over Phase Angle

Is there a residual phase angle dependence in the ROLO Model?

#### 412 nm Band (SeaWiFS B1, MODIS B8)









### Summary

Cross calibration using the Moon

- Estimate relative biases in on-orbit calibration at the top of the atmosphere
- Biases for SeaWiFS / Terra MODIS / Aqua MODIS

ROLO Model shows no residual phase dependence

Oversampling correction is primary source of noise in lunar observations: coherent between bands

Long term calibration time series:

- Allows mitigation of errors in a single observation
- Maximizes radiometric stability

### Implications

Importance of the USGS Photometric Model of the Moon (ROLO Model) for the on-orbit calibration of remote sensing instruments

Future instruments should be designed to observe the Moon without band saturation

Operations concepts for future missions:

- Maximize number of lunar observations
- Minimize phase angle range of the observations
- Consistent oversampling factors



#### Questions?

#### BACKUP













