



### NASA CERES Strategy for radiometric scaling between SNPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS reflective solar bands

#### David Doelling, Rajendra Bhatt, Conor Haney, Benjamin Scarino, and Arun Gopalan

CERES Imager and Geostationary Calibration Group

MODIS/VIIRS Calibration Workshop, Nov 18, 2019 The Hotel at the University of Maryland, College Park, MD





- Background
  - SNPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS radiometric consistency importance to CERES
  - CERES Imager and Geostationary Calibration Group
- Radiometric scaling of VIIRS instruments
  - Methods:
    - All-sky tropical ocean (ATO) ray-matching with a GEO imager
    - Simultaneous Nadir Overpass (SNO) with Aqua-MODIS
    - PICS invariant target approach (Saharan desert)
    - Deep-convective clouds (DCC) invariant target approach
  - Spectral differences and spectral band adjust factor (SBAF)
- SNPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS radiometric scaling results
  - Consistency among methods
- Conclusions





- Why consistent calibration of two VIIRS instruments matter to CERES?
  - CERES relies on coincident measurements from onboard imagers (MODIS, VIIRS) for proper scene identification needed to convert CERES radiances into radiative fluxes
  - Consistent retrievals of cloud properties requires
    - Individual imager records are temporally stable in their calibration
    - Both VIIRS imagers are radiometrically consistent
- CERES also utilizes geostationary (GEO) imager radiances to retrieve clouds and derive broadband fluxes that are used to account for the regional diurnal flux variation between the CERES measurements.
- CERES imager and geostationary calibration group (CIGC) performs calibration assessment of MODIS, VIIRS, and GEO imagers in real-time using multiple approaches





- Three independent cross-calibration approaches are used to estimate radiometric biases between the reflective solar bands (RSB) of SNPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS instruments:
  - Ray-matching with a GEO imager and Aqua-MODIS over ATO
  - DCC invariant target
  - Pseudo-invariant ground site (Libya-4 PICS)
- Datasets used are
  - Aqua-MODIS Collection 6.1 level 1B product
  - SNPP VIIRS V1 and NOAA-20 VIIRS V2 datasets generated by the NASA VIIRS Land Science Investigator-led Processing System (Land SIPS).

# ATO-RM with a GEO imager



- Both VIIRS instruments are calibrated against Himawari-8 AHI imager over allsky tropical ocean targets (0.5° grids)
- Himawari-8 AHI is a transfer radiometer
- Calibrate full dynamic range of sensor
- Matches within 15 minutes
  - VZA, SZA<40°; △VZA=5°-15°</li>
    10°<RAA<170°; △RAA=5°-15°</li>
- Linear regression of the matched data on a monthly-basis
- Ratio of the two regression slopes gives radiometric biases between SNPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS





## **Ray-matching with Aqua-MODIS**



- Coincident, co-located, and co-angled radiance pairs for all comparable channels of Aqua-MODIS and SNPP/NOAA-20 VIIRS are acquired between 30 °N and 30 °S.
- Ray-matching is performed over ATO scenes, when the two orbits overlap in time (every 2.5 days)
  pixels averaged within a shared 50-km diameter constitutes one ray-matched radiance pair
  VZA/SZA differences < 3°, RAZ difference <10°</li>
- A linear regression forced through zero is fitted to the radiance pairs on a monthly basis and the forced-slope is used as the cross-calibration ratio.





- Libya-4 PICS (28.6°N, 23.4°E, 0.5° x0.5° ROI)
- Only near-nadir observations (VZA < 10°) are considered</li>
- PICS TOA radiance is modeled as a function of SZA (2<sup>nd</sup> order regression)
  - Libya-4 directional models (DM) stratified by scattering direction (back/forward)
  - 7-year data from SNPP-VIIRS are used to construct DM
- DM can predict TOA radiance for a given SZA of a target LEO (NOAA-20 VIIRS).







- DCC pixel selection criteria:
  - BT11µm < 205.0°K, SZA < 40°, VZA < 40°, 10° <</li>
    RAA < 170°, σ(BT11µm) < 1.0° K, and σ(VIS) <3%</li>
- DCC pixels are compiled into monthly probability distribution functions (PDFs) and their modes are tracked over time.
- Anisotropic correction using the angular distribution model by Hu et al 2004.
- $\hfill\square$  Suitable for wavelengths <1  $\mu m$

	0.05	Pixels	MEAN	MODE	
		Feb2012 1001185	428.7	459.0	
		- Jun2012 1665215	429.0	459.0	JINFF-VIINJ
		Oct2012 1703407	435.8	459.0	
	0.04	Feb2013 1343536	429.0	459.0	
	0.04	Jun2013 1827840	431.3	461.0	
		Oct2013 1762822	434.2	459.0	
		Feb2014 1329000	426.3	461.0	0
	0.03	Jun2014 1787643	434.3	461.0	
		- Ech2015 1459590	435.3	459.0	
>		Lup2015 1458589	427.4	459.0	
ncy		Oct2015 1943187	437.7	461.0	
		Feb2016 1309719	427.9	461.0	
Ū	0.02	Jun2016 1847248	435.3	465.0	
Ē		_ Oct2016 1438542	434.1	461.0	
ō		Feb2017 412531	423.3	461.0	
ā		Jun2017 1556081	441.5	465.0	
Fre		- Oct2017 1808960	437.9	463.0	
	0.01	Feb2018 316563	434.9	463.0	
		Jun2018 563714	431.2	459.0	
		Oct2018 613041	437.4	461.0	
		Feb2019 469372	423.9	457.0	
		Jun2019 534271	431.1	463	
		0012019 314686	438.2	460.7	
	0.00	492832		400.7	
	0.05	Pivele	MEAN	MODE	
	0.04	Jan2018 589994	401.7	431	<b>ΛΛΛ_2Λ \/IIRS</b>
		- Feb2018 384393	405.2	433.0	
		Mar2018 507493	410.2	435.0	
		Apr2018 703774	412.0	433.0	
		May2018 978272	413.0	435.0	٨
		Jun2018 645012	412.5	435.0	A
		Jul2018 683032	408.3	435.0	
	0.00	Aug2018 540236	414.5	437.0	
5		Sep2018 697132	414.2	435.0	
_	0.03	- UCT2018 711859	413.2	431.0	
1)		Dec2018 065049	408.9	429.0	<i>W</i> W
reque		lan2010 732549	300.0	435.0	M 1
		Feb2019 457884	404 F	433.0	
	0.02	Mar2019 648389	414.5	435.0	// /
	0.02	Apr2019 768790	407.9	433.0	//
		May2019 760189	413.0	433.0	
	0.01	Jun2019 715229	409.5	433.0	
		Jul2019 655384	412.9	435.0	
		Aug2019 677088	411.4	435.0	//
		Sep2019 755590	414.8	433.0	
		Oct2019 855597	413.3	432	1
		- 692988	410.0	5	
					N N
	0.00	and the second se			
	0.00	0 050	210	270	420 400 5



### Improved DCCT for SWIR bands

rm)

- □ At SWIR wavelengths,
  - DCC reflectivity is affected by ice particle size
  - results in large seasonal cycles
  - DCC response is highly dependent on the IR BT threshold
- Channel and seasonal specific empirical BRDFs are constructed using the SNPP-VIIRS DCC reflectances from 2012-2016
- VIS-NIR BRDFs are similar to Hu model
- Cirrus Channel (1.38 μm)
  - Ground PICS are inapplicable for vicarious calibration
  - Radiation is mostly absorbed by atmosphere, except for high altitude ice clouds
- SWIR band BRDFs reduces temporal variability of DCC response by up to 65%.
- By implementing similar DCC thresholds and BRDF normalizations, inter-sensor comparison using mean and mode statistics is feasible.





## **Radiance and Reflectance biases**



### **Reference Solar Spectrum**

- VIIRS instruments are calibrated on *Reflectance* scale (solar diffuser reference)
- Radiance = Reflectance  $\times E_{SUN} \times \cos(SZA)/d^2$
- SNPP (Modtran) and NOAA-20 (Thuillier) VIIRS use different solar irradiance models
- Biases will differ for radiance and reflectance
- Difference in reference solar spectra can induce additional (+/-) radiance/reflectance bias

#### Impact on M4 band calibration



~2% difference in  $E_{sun}$  for M4 (0.55  $\mu$ m) band

Solar constant tool: <a href="https://cloudsway2.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/site/showdoc?mnemonic=SOLAR-CONSTANT-COMPARISONS">https://cloudsway2.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/site/showdoc?mnemonic=SOLAR-CONSTANT-COMPARISONS</a>



Wavelength (nm)

Mostly similar SRFs and all scene SBAFs are within 2%

Solar constant tool: https://satcorps.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/site/showdoc?mnemonic=SBAF



• M4 radiance and reflectance biases differ by 2% (due to solar irradiance model)



All methods agree within ~2%



Band

SA



#### Radiometric bias 100% x (1-NOAA-20/SNPP)

	HIM8 AHI RM	Aqua-MODIS RM	DCC-IT	Libya-4 PICS	Consistency within
M3 (0.48µm)	+5.7 (+5.1)	+5.9 (+5.3)	+5.4 (+4.9)	+5.3 (+4.7)	0.6 (0.6)
M4 (0.55µm)	+6.7 (+4.9)	+7.0 (+5.2)	+6.4 (+4.5)	+6.2 (+4.4)	0.8 (0.8)
M5 (0.65µm)	+6.2 (+4.7)	+6.3 (+4.9)	+6.1 (+4.7)	+6.1 (+4.9)	0.2 (0.2)
I1 (0.65μm)	+5.6 (+4.5)	+5.6 (+4.5)	+5.2 (+4.3)	+5.8 (+4.7)	0.6 (0.4)
M7 (0.86µm)	+3.5 (+3.8)	+3.5 (+3.7)	+3.8 (+3.9)	+3.9 (+4.1)	0.4 (0.4)
M8 (1.24µm)	NA	+2.5 (+2.3)	+2.5 (+2.4)	+2.4 (+2.2)	0.1 (0.2)
M9 (1.38µm)	NA	+1.0 (+2.5)	+0.1 (+1.7)	NA	0.9 (0.8)
M10 (1.6µm)	0 (+2.0)	+0.7(+3.0)	-0.2(+2.2)	-0.3 (+1.6)	1.0 (1.4)
I3 (1.6μm)	+2.1 (+4.5)	+2.6 (+4.8)	2.0 (+4.6)	+3.3 (+4.6)	1.2( 0.3)
M11 (2.25µm)	-1.7(+1.0)	NA	-1.0 (+1.7)	-1.7 (+1.1)	0.7 (0.7)

- Reflectance biases are provided in parenthesis
- '+' indicates SNPP-VIIRS is brighter

- All methods consistent within 0.8%, except for M10
- For bands <  $1\mu$ m NPP is brighter than NOAA20 VIIRS by 3.5-6%





- NPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS are radiometrically scaled using
  - NPP and NOAA-20 do not have any SNOs, since they are in the same orbit and altitude but are spaced by 45 minutes
  - Transfer radiometers with similar spectral response functions, Himawari-8 and Aqua-MODIS
  - Invariant Earth targets, Libya-4 and deep convective clouds
  - Spectral band adjustment factors are utilized to account for slight spectral response differences
- Both reflectance and radiance scaling factors are computed
  - Reflectance is based on solar diffuser observation. (assumes a EARTH reflected spectra that is flat over the band)
  - Radiance requires a solar spectra. NPP and NOAA-20 use differing solar constants, which are wrapped into the scaling factor.
  - Band spectral difference were taken into account using scene specific SBAFs
- The method specific NPP and NOAA-20 VIIRS radiometric scaling factors are within 0.8%
  - NPP-VIIRS is brighter by 3.5% to 5% for bands <  $1\mu m$
- CERES will radiometrically scale the VIIRS to Aqua-MODIS C5 reference to maintain a consistent calibration throughout the 20-year record.