



### (SNPP + J1/N20 + J2) VIRS Geolocation Status

#### NASA VIIRS Characterization Support Team (VCST) Geometric Calibration Group

Guoqing (Gary) Lin, SSAI/GSFC code 619 Robert E. Wolfe, NASA/GSFC Code 619 James C. Tilton, NASA/GSFC Code 606 Ping Zhang, Bin Tan, SSAI/GSFC Code 619 John Dellomo, GST/GSFC Code 619

NASA MODIS/VIIRS STM Calibration Workshop Silver Spring, Maryland 18 October 2018







- Thanks to Carol Davidson & her Land SIPS (formerly Land PEATE) Team for processing control point residuals and testing Geo LUTs updates
- Thanks to Fred Patt of NASA Ocean Group for helping us understanding and resolving issues related to ephemeris and attitude data
- Thanks to the NOAA STAR VIIRS SDR Team and many other branches of the JPSS Program



### Outline



- SNPP VIIRS Geolocation Performance and Trends
- J1/N20 VIIRS Geolocation Performance and Trends
- Some expectations of J2 VIIRS
- Improvements and future work
- Conclusions



### **VIIRS** Geolocation Performance



Residuals	SNPP VIIRS C. 1.0	J1/N-20 VIIRS Prel.	Aqua MODIS C6.1	Terra MODIS C6.1
Track mean	13 m	7 m	1 m	0 m
Scan mean	<b>4 m</b>	-24 m	0 m	0 m
Track RMSE	58 m	62 m	46 m	43 m
Scan RMSE	52 m	59 m	53 m	44 m
Data-days	2447 (6.7 yrs)	282 (0.8 yrs)	5910 (16.2 yrs)	6725 (18.4 yrs)
Missing days	1	6	10	59
Daily matched GCPs w/ I1/B1	204	183	223	258

- Nadir equivalent accuracy (RMSE Root Mean Square Error) . (MODIS for reference)
  - Meet Spec: 125 m (1 $\sigma$ ); within 20% I1 HSI (375 m) = 75 m @ nadir for VIIRS

- Band-to-band mis-registration to other bands adds bias to RMSE to :  $RMSE = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \mu^2}$ 

• MODIS – VIIRS differences

Aqua use definitive ephemeris data  $\rightarrow$  27 hour latency

SNPP attitude data is not as good, see Slide #13

DEM resolutions: older 1 km for VIIRS vs newer 0.5 km for MODIS C6/C6.1



### SNPP C1 geolocation errors





C1.1 RMSE Track: 58 m Scan: 52 m, nadir equivalent

VCST/GEO 5



## SNPP Scan Angle Residuals







• Daily • 16-day Global • 16-day S Hemi • 16-day N Hem





- J1 temporal pointing variation is large (> SNPP). Yaw and pitch pointing variations cause geolocation error ~ 250 m @ edge of scan.
- MODIS-like correction is being worked for both SNPP and J1 pointing variations.

Preliminary RMSE Track: 62 m Scan: 59 m, nadir equivalent

VCST/GEO 7



# J1 DNB geolocation



PA X-offset (mm)









- Band-to-band co-registration -- good
- Pointing good
- Geolocation should be good with on-orbit calibration
- Line spread functions (LSFs) / modulation transfer functions (MTFs) – mostly good, except DNB at low light





Illustration of Simulated J2 DNB LSF at low light

Incorrect J2 voltage setting causes the charge in the current sample to remain behind in the transfer gate and be deferred into the next sample in the scan direction



## VIGMU:



### VIIRS instrument geometric model update



- Puzzle: ground geolocation
   SW is supposed to correct
   RTA/HAM motion non-linearity
- Long term trend from SNPP VIIRS still shows the pattern, but in the opposite direction

### Answer:

Lsight = Ltel - 1/M (Ltel – Lhamvector)

where M = -4 (not +4 as we are currently using), which affects line of sight due to the parts of RTA/HAM motion nonlinearity (non-synchronization), which are large enough to skew the errors outside of  $\pm$  20% l-band pixel size











- Large circles for control spec outage; Small dots hint knowledge spec outage
- Star tracker cooling improved SNPP attitude performance
- We are seeking for further improvements<sup>3</sup>
- We are developing SW with Kalman filter to refine the attitude for NASA SIPSs
- J1 is performing better but we are monitoring

3. <u>My eRooms</u> > <u>S-NPP Flight Operations and Support</u> > <u>FARB</u> > <u>All Discussion Topics--Artifacts and Minutes</u> > <u>DR 6348--SNPP STAR TRACKER</u> <u>DEGRADATIONS OVER MISSION LIFE: ATTITUDE EXCURSIONS AND LUNAR INTRUSIONS</u> > **SNPP ADCS and Geolocation Report** 



### SNPP Events →data exclusion for now





Leap second insertions: 2012-07-01, 2015-07-01, 2017-01-01
Inclination adjustment maneuvers: poor pointing ~ 2 hours after
#1-4: 2014/ 7/30+9/10+10/15+11/12;
#5: 2015 9/23; #6: 2016 9/29;
#7: 2017 9/28; #8: 2018 9/27.
Drag make-up maneuvers: 28 DMUs up to 2018-07-17.



J1 VIIRS Scan-to-scan underlaps



 $Overlap = n \frac{p}{F} h - [V_{ECI} - V_{earth0} \cos i]T$ , if < 0  $\rightarrow$  underlap

where F = effective focal length = Mag x aft optic focal length, p = detector "pitch" interval in the track direction, n = # detectors, h = range from satellite to earth terrain surface altitude, T = scan period, *i*=inclination angle (in ECI) < 90 deg for J1,  $V_{ECI}$  = spacecraft ground speed in the inertial frame, Vearth0 = speed of earth rotation at equator, Overlap < 0 indicates underlap.



VCST/GEO 15



## On-orbit focal length measurements





• A +0.1% EFL change means +12 m change in scan-to-scan underlap where it exits.

See poster for details, including BBR



## Future work



- 1) Routine monitor and LUTs update as needed
- VIGMU (VIIRS instrument geometric model update): test → production
- 3) Corrections for temporal pointing variations: test → production
- 4) Refresh ground control points with Landsat-8 images
- 5) Replace attitude in SC diary with Kalman filtered data
- 6) Replace ephemeris in SC diary with GPS data
- 7) Update DEM from 1 km to 500m resolution
- 8) Automate GEO LUT updates
- 9) Create Level-1 geolocation web (needed?)

Anything else?

Any change in priority order above?



## Conclusions



- SNPP VIIRS geolocation performance is good
  - mean errors for I- & M-bands are ~ 10 m and uncertainties are ~ 60 m at nadir, statistically
  - DNB geolocation accuracy is only spot-checked and it is ok
  - The attitude system underperforms → geolocation error up to 7 km occurred occasionally. Attitude HW needs maintenance, and their output will be tweaked on the ground (re-working ephemeris and attitude data)
- J1/N20 VIIRS geolocation performance is ok
  - Early on-orbit calibration reduced biases from O(1km) to O(10m), including DNB
  - Correction for large pointing variation was worked, and will be operationalized soon
- J2 ( $\rightarrow$ N21) VIIRS geolocation is expected to perform fine







- Q: Do random variables exist?
- A: Not really.
- Q: What do we do?
- A: Careful trending and de-trending.







# **Backup Slides**







De-trending was worked and will be operationalized soon











Lin et al., 18 Oct 2018

Correction is in-work

Plot courtesy: Fred Patt