MODIS Collection 6
MCST Proposed Changes to L1B

Introduction

- MODIS Collection History
  - Collection 5 – Feb. 2005 - present
  - Collection 4 – Jan. 2003 – early 2007
  - Collection 3 – June 2001 – Jan. 2003
  - Collection 2 – Terra launch – June 2001

Collection 6 Issues

- RSB
  - Detector Dependent RVS
  - m1 correction
  - Reprocess m1 (using current algorithm)
  - New LUT containing Polarization correction information
- TEB
  - a0/a2 Strategy
- QA
  - Fill Values instead of Interpolation for Inoperable Detectors
  - New QA LUT: Subframe level QA flags
  - Minor formatting error in ASCII LUT
- Space view DN=0

Collection 6 Issue Status

#	Issue	Change Type	Change Status	Test Data Produced	Notes
1	Fill vs Interpolation for inoperable detectors	Code	**Complete**	Yes	Code changes complete 1-day ‘golden tile’ data produced and available.
2	Noisy/Inoperable Subframe	Code, LUT	**Complete**	Limited	L1B code changes and new QA LUT complete. Limited test data produced.
3	A0/A2 Strategy	LUT	**Complete**	Limited	Initial V6 LUT derived. Limited set of test data produced.

Collection 6 Issue Status

#	Issue	Change Type	Change Status	Test Data Produced	Notes
4	Reprocess m1	LUT	**Complete**		Mission m1 reprocessed using current algorithm
5	m1 correction	LUT	**Complete**	Limited	Initial v6 LUT derived
6	Detector dependent RVS	LUT	**Complete**	Limited	Initial v6 LUT derived
7	Polarization correction information	LUT	**Pending**		Provide information for users to correct L1B data for polarization effects

Fill Value vs Interpolation

- Current v5 approach: Interpolation using the adjacent good detectors has been used since beginning of mission
  - Originally introduced in v2.4.3 on 06/12/2000

- Request from Land team to reconsider this decision and use fill values in v6

- Proposed change: Fill value instead of interpolation for inoperable detectors

Fill Value vs Interpolation

- Bands impacted based on current QA LUT
  - Terra
    - B29 D6
  - Aqua
    - B5 D20
    - B6 D10, 12-16, 18-20
    - B36 D5

L1B Impact Example: Terra Band 29

Terra Band 29 Detector 6: currently flagged as inoperable in QA





Impact on Aggregate L1B Products

Test scenario with multiple inoperable detectors in Terra Band 2





Impact on Aggregate L1B Products

Test scenario with multiple inoperable detectors in Terra Band 2





Impact on Aggregate L1B Products

Test scenario with multiple inoperable detectors in Terra Band 2





Fill Value vs Interpolation

- v6 L1B code changes completed

- Test data is available through lads
  - http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov (Archive set 108)
  - 1 day ‘Golden Tile’ granules (2007079)
  - At least one detector set as inoperable in each band
    - Multiple adjacent detectors in 250m & 500m bands

Subframe QA

- Current v5 approach
  - QA flags only set on a detector basis
- Terra B2 D29 & 30 subframe 1 have a known crosstalk issue.
- Proposed change:
  - Code change and new QA LUT to allow QA flag for noisy/inoperable to be set at subframe level
  - Noisy subframe – flag is set for user information, no impact on L1B
  - Inoperable subframe – Fill value in L1B

L1B Impact example: Terra Band 2

Collection 6 test data with Subframe 1, Detector 29 & 30 flagged as inoperable





L1B Impact Example: Terra Band 2

Collection 6 test data with Subframe 1, Detector 29 & 30 flagged as inoperable



Subframe QA

- Bands impacted:
  - Terra B2 D29 & 30 subframe 1
  - Subframes to be flagged as Noisy

- Initial v6 L1B code changes and new subframe QA LUT completed

Collection 6 A0/A2 Strategy

- Motivation
  - TEB Prelaunch BB calibration range 170-340 K
    - On-orbit BB calibration range 270-315 K
  - Issue: Aqua B31/32 & Terra TEB (gain change and config/elec changes mean we have no valid prelaunch calibration and have to rely on on-orbit calibration data from the warm-up/cool-down activities)
  - Historically, TEB has demonstrated good performance at typical scene temperatures.
  - A cold scene bias (~1K) has been observed and reported for Aqua B31 & 32 compared to AIRS for extreme low temperature scenes (~200K) using v5 data.

- Re-examination of A0/A2 strategy could yield improvements in temperature retrievals for low scene temperatures while minimizing impact at typical scene temperatures.

Proposed v6 A0/A2 Strategy

Aqua

	v4/v5*	v6
B20, 22-30	PL a0/a2	no change
B21	a0 = 0 and a2 = 0	no change
B31-32	Warm-up a0/a2	**a0 = 0, cool-down a2**
B33-36	a0 = 0, PL a2	no change

Terra

	v4/v5*	v6
B20, 22-30	Warm-up a0/a2	**Cool-down a0/a2**
B21	a0 = 0 and a2 = 0	no change
B31-32	Warm-up a0/a2	**a0 = 0, cool-down a2**
B33-36	a0 = 0, warm-up a2	a0 = 0, **cool-down a2**

*Changes in TEB between v4 & v5 included: On-orbit TEB RVS updated from Deep Space Maneuver (Terra), Cavity term average of 4 telemetry points instead of 1

L1B Impact Assessment

- Compile new time dependent a0/a2 LUT using the v6 approach

<u>Test Data Sets</u>

- L1A granules with v5 & v6 LUT with EV data filled to cover entire dynamic range
- Specific L1B granules coinciding with the Univ. Wisconsin ER-2 flights (MODIS Airborne Simulator)
- One orbit of L1B data sets (Terra: June 21, 2007; Aqua June 20, 2006)

Aqua L1B Impact Assessment



Aqua L1B Impact Assessment

- ER-2 MAS comparison



Bands 31 & 32: nearly identical for this case with typical scene temperatures

Plot courtesy of Chris Moeller

Aqua L1B Impact Assessment

One orbit of granules – June 20, 2006 – near nadir footprints
MODIS resampled to AIRS footprint, AIRS spectra convoluted with MODIS bandpass





Aqua L1B Impact Assessment

Scene temperature dependence of Aqua MODIS/AIRS difference (B31)

(near nadir AIRS footprints, one orbit)





Solid line: v5

Dashed line: v6

Terra L1B Impact Assessment



Terra L1B Impact Assessment



Terra L1B Impact Assessment

- ER-2 MAS comparison analysis



Plot courtesy of Chris Moeller

Terra L1B Impact Assessment



Terra L1B Impact Assessment



Terra L1B Impact Assessment

- ER-2 MAS comparison analysis



Plot courtesy of Chris Moeller

Terra L1B Impact Assessment

One orbit on June 21, 2007



Estimated L1B Impact

Band	T_{typ}	Terra			Aqua		
		ΔT @ $T(0.3L_{typ})$	ΔT @ $T(L_{typ})$	ΔT @ $T(0.9L_{max})$	ΔT @ $T(0.3L_{typ})$	ΔT @ $T(L_{typ})$	ΔT @ $T(0.9L_{max})$
20	300	- 0.10	+ 0.02	- 0.03			
22	300	- 0.05	+ 0.02	+ 0.01			
23	300	- 0.08	+ 0.02	0.00			
24	250	- 1.20	- 0.30	- 0.15			
25	275	- 0.40	- 0.08	- 0.04			
27	240	- 0.50	- 0.20	- 0.05			
28	250	- 0.70	- 0.15	- 0.02			
29	300	- 0.20	- 0.03	- 0.15			
30	250	+ 0.40	+ 0.15	+ 0.10			
31	300	- 0.20	- 0.04	- 0.14	- 0.40	0.00	- 0.05
32	300	- 0.20	- 0.04	- 0.14	- 0.40	0.00	- 0.05
33	260	+ 0.10	+ 0.08	+ 0.05			
34	250	+ 0.10	+ 0.08	+ 0.07			
35	240	+ 0.10	+ 0.08	+ 0.07			
36	220	+ 0.08	+ 0.08	+ 0.07			

A0/A2 Summary

- Initial time dependent LUTs derived and tested
  - Results indicate improved performance for low temperature scenes.

- To be completed: Verification of v6 Terra LUTs by analysis of each cool-down dataset
  - Intial LUTs derived from average a0/a2 from all CD events within a given configuration

RSB LUTs Improvements in MODIS L1B Collection 6

Outline

- Introduction
- Correction for detector bias in the SD m1
  - Algorithm
  - Results
- Detector dependent RVS
  - Algorithm
  - Results
- V6 and V5 RVS comparison
- Application to the EV data
- Summary

Introduction

- m1:
  - Approximations used in our SD calibration
  - EV radiance detector difference trending at AOI of the SD
- RVS
  - Current V5 RVS is detector independent and derived from the detector averaged SD m1, lunar m1, and mirror side ratios
  - EV radiance detector difference trending at other AOI

Introduction





Introduction





Correction for detector bias in SD m1

- MODIS calibration coefficients

$$m1' = m1 / R_{m1}$$

  - *m1*: Current calibration coefficients
  - *m1'*: Corrected calibration coefficients
  - R_{m1}: Correction for the calibration coefficients

- Correction:

$$R_{m1} = \frac{L_{EV}}{\langle L_{EV} \rangle_d}$$

  - $\langle \ldots \rangle_d$: Averaged over detectors in the band

Correction for detector bias in SD m1



Correction for detector bias in SD m1



Detector dependent RVS

- **Algorithm**
  - **For MS1, the detector dependent RVS is derived from the SD and lunar m1 with a linear approximation**
  - **For MS2, the detector dependent RVS is derived from the EV, lunar, and SRCA dn mirror side ratios**
  - **Data fitted to smooth functions**
    - **The normalized detector dependent SD m1, lunar m1, and MS ratios are fitted to proper functions, which are, in general, composed of several of analytical functions smoothly connected**
    - **The detector differences of the SD m1, lunar m1, and MS ratios are fitted to a properly chosen polynomial for each band and detector**

Detector dependent RVS



Detector dependent RVS



Detector dependent RVS



Detector dependent RVS



Terra RVS V5 and V6 Comparison



Terra RVS V5 and V6 Comparison



Application to EV data





Application to EV data





Application to EV data





Application to EV data



Application to EV data



Summary

- Based on the EV radiance difference at AOI of the SD, correction for Terra RSB m1 detector bias is derived
  - The correction is within +/-0.5% for all bands early in the mission
  - The correction has increased by an additional +/-0.3% for Terra band 8, +/-0.2% for band 9
  - There are no obvious change for other bands
- Detector dependent RVS is derived for Terra RSB
  - Band 8 has the largest RVS detector difference, which increases with time and is now as large as 3.0% at the AOI of the SV
  - The largest RVS detector differences for bands 9, 3, and 10 are about 1.5%, 1.2%, and 0.8%, respectively, at the AOI of the SV
- Detector averaged V6 RVS matches the V5 RVS in general but it has corrected the errors in V5 due to various reasons occurred in the forward process
- The corrected m1 and detector dependent RVS greatly reduce the EV radiance detector difference and improve the MODIS L1B product quality.